

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/686,880	SMITH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shin-Lin Chen	1632	

All Participants:

(1) Shin-Lin Chen.

(2) Leslie McDonell.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

44-51, 54 and 58

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposes changing the term "CLAIMS" on page 28 to "We claim:" or "What is claimed is:", and changing the term "A" on claim 44-51, 54 and 58 to the term "The". Ms. McDonell agrees with such change.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)